



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/722,805	11/26/2003	Loic Grebonval	18,967	8193		
23556 75	23556 7590 03/07/2006			EXAMINER		
KIMBERLY-CLARK WORLDWIDE, INC. 401 NORTH LAKE STREET NEENAH, WI 54956			NGUYEN, JOHN QUOC			
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
,			3654	· · ·		

DATE MAILED: 03/07/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applicati	on No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summary		10/722,8	D5 .	GREBONVAL ET AL.					
		Examine	•	Art Unit					
		John Q. N		3654					
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	CORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILIN issions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CI (SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory price to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by seply received by the Office later than three months after the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	IG DATE OF THE FR 1.136(a). In no even on. Deriod will apply and we statute, cause the app	HIS COMMUNICATION ent, however, may a reply be timil expire SIX (6) MONTHS from lication to become ABANDONEI	I. the mailing date of this of the mailing date of this of the control of the co					
Status									
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	20 January 200	6.						
	<u> </u>								
3)	Since this application is in condition for all			secution as to the	e merits is				
,	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Dispositi	on of Claims								
4)🖂	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-62</u> is/are pending in the application.								
	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>3,4 and 28-62</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
	☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,2 and 5-27</u> is/are rejected.								
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.								
Applicati	on Papers								
9)□.	The specification is objected to by the Exa	miner							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.									
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).									
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).									
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.									
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119								
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:									
•	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No								
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the		• •		Stage				
	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).								
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.									
Attachment	(s)								
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)					
2) 🔲 Notice	of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948		Paper No(s)/Mail Da	te					
	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/Si No(s)/Mail Date	B/08)	5) Notice of Informal Pa	atent Application (PT	O-152)				

Art Unit: 3654

Applicant's election without traverse of the species of figs. 1-4, claims 1, 2, and 5-27 in the reply filed on 6/30/05 is acknowledged.

Claims 3, 4, and 28-62 stand withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected species, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made **without** traverse in the reply filed on 6/30/05. It should be noted that claim 36 does not read on the elected species.

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

For clarity and/or definiteness, it appears that –to provide ready access—should be inserted after "exposed" (claim 1, line 6).

All claims should be revised carefully to correct all other deficiencies similar to the ones noted above. The non-elected claims should also be similarly corrected at the same time so that the application can be allowed without delay should the generic claims become allowable.

Application/Control Number: 10/722,805

Art Unit: 3654

Claims 1, 2, 5-19, 22-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over FitzSimons et al (US-6899250) in view of Compton (US-4487376).

Fitzsimons et al discloses a wet and dry towel dispenser (especially figs. 4-8) having substantially all the claimed features including base 12 including a shroud, a support member including element/spindle 30 or 42 which is attached to all elements of the base. Openings 24 and 34 expose a portion of the roll. The angle is about 0 degrees (claim 12) and about 90 degrees (claim 13). The gap of claim 15 reads on openings 24 or 34. Note dispensing cover 28 and sealing ring surrounding dispensing opening 26. Relative to claim 19, note hinge 29. A portion of compartment 14 or 16 can also be a storage compartment. Relative to claim 23, note fig. 4 showing a refill cover 44. Compton discloses a vertical roll holder in which 100% of the roll is exposed as is old and well known. It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art to enlarge the opening 24/34 of Fitzsimons et al to expose up to 100% as taught by Compton to facilitate dispensing of the dry roll, the chosen amount would have been an obvious matter of design choice to a person having ordinary skill in the art based on factors such as preference and design criteria (such as desired exposed amount). Since the rolls of Fitzsimons et al and Compton appear to be standard rolls, the spindle is deemed to have the claimed dimensions or, alternatively, the claimed dimensions would have been an obvious matter of design choice to a person having ordinary skill in the art based on factors such as preference, design criteria, space optimization, and costs. Compressible seal such as o-rings and gaskets are considered prior art since Official notice was previously taken. Therefore the use of such a

Art Unit: 3654

compressible seal in the cover which would then inherently spring open the cover would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art to provide a more effective seal of the dispensing opening.

Claims 20, 21, and 27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over FitzSimons et al in view of Compton as applied to claims 1, 2, 5-19, 22-26 above, and further in view of Bando et al (US-6206221)

Bando et al discloses another similar apparatus in which a dispensing cover 23 springs open by activating a button 90. It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art to provide the apparatus of FitzSimons et al with a cover and button as taught by Bando et al to facilitate opening the dispensing cover. A soft-pack wet wipe package 40 with a removable sheet 45 is used as the source of wet wipe. That the removable sheet is a label would have been an obvious matter of design choice to a person having ordinary skill in the art based on factors such as ornamental preference and design criteria and also since such is also old and well known in the art and Official notice of such is hereby taken. It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art to provide the wet wipe package of Fitzsimons as a soft-pack as taught by Bando et al to facilitate inserting the package into the dispenser.

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1 and 5 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Art Unit: 3654

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to John Q. Nguyen whose telephone number is (571) 272-6952. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday, Tuesday, Thursday, and Friday, from 7:00 AM to 4:30 PM. The examiner can also be reached on alternate Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Katherine Matecki, can be reached on (571) 272-6951. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

JLQ. Yyy

John Q. Nguyen Primary Examiner Art Unit 3654